

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/042,473	01/09/2002	W. Alan Burris	V. Alan Burris	
37211	7590 12/06/2005		EXAMINER	
BASCH & NICKERSON LLP 1777 PENFIELD ROAD		TRAN, THAO T		
PENFIELD, NY 14526			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1711	

DATE MAILED: 12/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/042,473	BURRIS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Thao T. Tran	1711	

		7 0 0	1
	Thao T. Tran	1711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 14 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a potice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must I 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal	of the appeal.
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or search (see NO	f, will <u>not</u> be entered TE below);	because
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		ejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendmen	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		•	,
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	allowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendn	nent canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ worlded below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) anowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	ance because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s).	
13. Other:	((0).	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Final rejection still stands. Applicants contend that the finality was made prematurely because the rejection was not made based on the amended limitations. However, the amended claim 1 recites "a liquid reservoir" instead of the previously "non-pressurized liquid reservoir" recitation, making the amended claim broader in scope than before. Applicants further argue that Burris '773 does not disclose a controllable delivery system to direct the liquid containing dissolved ozone to the point of use. However, as shown in Fig. 4 of the reference, a control system and an outlet are used to control the flow of the delivery system, illustrating a controllable delivery system of the ozonized fluid.

THAO T. TRAN
PATENT EXAMINER